

AUG 19 2005


**Procter & Gamble – I.P. Division****IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

The documents accompanying this telecopy transmission contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally protected. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named below. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this telecopied information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (collect) to arrange for return of the telecopied document to us.

**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET AND  
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8****TO: Official Application Related Correspondence - United States Patent and Trademark Office**Fax No. 571/273-8300

Phone No.

*I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 19, 2005, to the above identified facsimile number.*

 (Signature)**FROM: Bridget Harris for Jerry J. Yetter, Esq.** (Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate)Fax No. 513/627-0375Phone No. 513/627-2996

Listed below are the item(s) being submitted with this Certificate of Transmission:\*\*

Number of Pages Including this Page: 6

- 1) Amendment/Response – 3 pgs.
- 2) transmittal – in duplicate
- 3)
- 4)
- 5)

Inventor(s): Denome et al.S.N.: 10/644,286Filed: 8/20/2003Docket No.: 9001Comments:

**\*\*Note:** Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, OR this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

**Certification of Mailing or Facsimile Transmission**  
 I hereby certify that I have reasonable basis to expect that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being submitted as indicated below:  
☐ mailed or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
 Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450,  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
☒ [X] facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300

Jerry J. Yetter 26,598  
 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Registration No. (if applicable)  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature  
 August 19, 2005 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**AUG 19 2005**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE  
RESPONSE/AMENDMENT**

**COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
VIA FACSIMILE 571/273-8300**

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an AMENDMENT for the patent application:

Application No. : 10/644,286  
 Applicant(s) : Frank William Denome et al.  
 Filed : 8/20/2003  
 Title : Liquid Gel Automatic Dishwashing Detergent  
 Composition Comprising Anhydrous Solvent  
 TC/A.U. : 1700/1751  
 Examiner : Gregory E. Webb  
 Conf. No. : 5790  
 Docket No. : 9001  
 Customer No. : 27752

1. ☒ No additional fees (claims fees or extension fees) are known to be required.
2. ☐ The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA*	RATE	FEE
TOTAL	* 20	MINUS	** 20	= 0	x \$ 50 =	\$
INDEP.	* 3	MINUS	*** 3	= 0	x \$200 =	\$
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM					+ \$360 =	\$
					TOTAL	\$

- \* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.  
 \*\* If the highest number of total claims previously paid for is less than 20, write "20" in this space.  
 \*\*\* If the highest number of independent claims previously paid for is less than 3, write "3" in this space.  
 The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.


3. ☒ [X] The Commissioner is hereby petitioned under 37 CFR §1.136(a) to grant any extension of time needed for timely response to the Office Action dated 7/22/05 in the above-identified application to preserve pendency of said application. The processing fee under 37 CFR §1.17 has been determined as follows: \$0 for a 0-month extension of time.
4. The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 16-2480. A duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.
  - a. ☒ [x] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.16.
  - b. ☒ [x] Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17.
5. The Director is hereby authorized to make any additional copies of this sheet needed to accomplish the purposes provided for herein and to charge any fee for such copies to Deposit Account No. 16-2480.

**THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY**

By \_\_\_\_\_

Jerry J. Yetter  
 Typed or Printed Name  
 Registration No. 26,598  
 (513) 627-2996

Date: August 19, 2005  
 Customer No. 27752  
 (Transamd.doc) Revised 8/3/2005

<b>Certification of Mailing or Facsimile Transmission</b>	
I hereby certify that I have reasonable basis to expect that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being submitted as indicated below:	
[ ] I mailed or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
[X] facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300.	
Name	26,598 Registration No (if applicable)
Signature	
Date	August 19, 2005

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 19 2005

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No.	:	10/644,286
Applicant(s)	:	Frank William Denome et al.
Filed	:	8/20/2003
Title	:	Liquid Gel Automatic Dishwashing Detergent Composition Comprising Anhydrous Solvent
TC/A.U.	:	1700/1751
Examiner	:	Gregory E. Webb
Conf. No.	:	5790
Docket No.	:	9001
Customer No.	:	27752

**AMENDMENT/RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
VIA FACSIMILE 571/273-8300  
Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action of 7/22/05. Please consider the following remarks in support of Unity of Invention under 37 CFR 1.475 and MPEP 821.04.

There are no Amendments to the Specification.

There are no Amendments to the Claims.

Remarks/Arguments begin at page 2 of this paper.